

**SEP 14 2006**

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

JUAN DAVID MUNOZ-CRUZ,

Defendant-Appellant.

No. 06-30267

D.C. No. CR-04-00064-MFM

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Oregon  
Malcolm F. Marsh, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted September 11, 2006 <sup>\*\*</sup>

Before: PREGERSON, T. NELSON, and GRABER, Circuit Judges.

Juan David Munoz-Cruz appeals his 57-month sentence imposed following his guilty plea to being found in the United States after illegal re-entry, in

---

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

06-30267

violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

Munoz-Cruz contends that the district court violated his constitutional rights by imposing a sentence in excess of the two-year maximum set forth in 8 U.S.C. § 1326(a) based on a prior conviction that was neither proved to a jury nor admitted during the plea colloquy. This contention is foreclosed by *United States v. Beng-Salazar*, 452 F.3d 1088, 1091 (9th Cir. 2006). Moreover, in the plea agreement and at the plea colloquy, Munoz-Cruz admitted that he had been deported on July 25, 2000, which was subsequent to his August 16, 1994 drug conviction.

**AFFIRMED.**